

## REGULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF DIRICHLET SPACES

BY  
MASATOSHI FUKUSHIMA

**Abstract.** We construct a regular and a strongly regular Dirichlet space which are equivalent to a given Dirichlet space in the sense that their associated function algebras are isomorphic and isometric. There is an appropriate strong Markov process called a Ray process on the underlying space of each strongly regular Dirichlet space.

**1. Introduction.** A. Beurling and J. Deny [1] introduced the notion of Dirichlet spaces and developed the general theory of kernel-free potentials. Recently the author [6] adopted Dirichlet spaces relative to  $L^2$ -spaces (we will call them  $L^2$ -Dirichlet spaces or  $D$ -spaces as an abbreviation) to describe boundary conditions for multidimensional Brownian motions.

A  $D$ -space is a certain space of functions that are defined on an underlying measure space  $(X, m)$ . When  $(X, m)$  is fixed, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all symmetric sub-Markov resolvent operators on  $L^2(X; m)$  and the set of all  $D$ -spaces. In particular, any sub-Markov resolvent kernel on  $X$  which is symmetric with respect to  $m$  generates a  $D$ -space. The present paper and the subsequent one [9] concern the problem of whether conversely any  $D$ -space guarantees the existence of a suitable strong Markov process or not.

The present paper aims at constructing a regular and a strongly regular  $D$ -space which are equivalent to a given  $D$ -space. A  $D$ -space is called regular if it densely contains sufficiently many continuous functions vanishing at infinity on its underlying space. There corresponds a potential theory of a type of Beurling-Deny to each regular  $D$ -space. A strongly regular  $D$ -space is a regular one which is generated by a Ray resolvent kernel. According to D. Ray [15], there is a right continuous strong Markov process on the underlying space of each strongly regular  $D$ -space.

Suppose that we are given a  $D$ -space with underlying space  $(X, m)$ . Theorem 2 in §5 states that there exists then a regular  $D$ -space with some modified underlying space  $(X', m')$  in such a way that these two  $D$ -spaces are equivalent to each other as function spaces. The latter  $D$ -space will be called a *regular representation* of the given one. The regular representation will be carried out depending on a sub-algebra  $L$  of  $L^\infty(X; m)$  satisfying a certain condition denoted by (C). Actually we will take as  $X'$  the space of all regular maximal ideals of  $L$ .

---

Received by the editors February 15, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 6060; Secondary 4655.

Key words and phrases.  $D$ -space, underlying space,  $L^2$ -resolvent, regular  $D$ -space, Ray resolvent, strongly regular  $D$ -space, Ray process, regular representation, continuous embedding.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

There are generally many possibilities to find  $L$  satisfying (C). In §6, a special  $L$  possessing an additional property denoted by (R) will be constructed by making use of the method of F. Knight [11] and H. Kunita and T. Watanabe [12]. We can regard the condition (R) as a generalization of Ray's hypothesis for a sub-Markov resolvent [15]. Theorem 3 in §6 asserts that the regular representation with respect to such an  $L$  turns out to be a strongly regular  $D$ -space.

§3 consists of typical examples of  $D$ -spaces related to the multidimensional Brownian motion. Those  $D$ -spaces except for the last example took the fundamental roles in the investigations of boundary problems by J. L. Doob [4] and by the author [5], [6]. The last example is a rather sophisticated one of regular  $D$ -spaces<sup>(1)</sup>. Much stress on the roles of regular ones will be laid in [9].

The appendix is referred to only in §3.

## 2. Basic properties of $D$ -spaces.

DEFINITION 2.1. We call  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  an  $L^2$ -Dirichlet space (or a  $D$ -space, for short) if the following conditions are satisfied.

(D.1)  $X$  is a locally compact, Hausdorff, and separable space.  $m$  is a Radon measure on  $X$ .

(D.2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is a linear subspace of the real  $L^2(X) = L^2(X; m)$ , two functions of  $\mathcal{F}$  being identified if they coincide  $m$ -a.e. on  $X$ .  $\mathcal{E}$  is a symmetric nonnegative definite bilinear form on  $\mathcal{F}$  and, for each  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a real Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{E}^\alpha(u, v) = \mathcal{E}(u, v) + \alpha(u, v)_X, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F},$$

where  $(u, v)_X$  denotes the inner product of  $L^2(X)$ .

(D.3) Every normal contraction operates on  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ : if  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  and a  $m$ -measurable function  $v$  satisfies inequalities

$$|v(x)| \leq |u(x)|, \quad |v(x) - v(y)| \leq |u(x) - u(y)|$$

$m$ -a.e. on  $X$ , then  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(v, v) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$ .

The present definition of  $D$ -space was given in [6].  $(X, m)$  is called the *underlying space* of the  $D$ -space. According to §2 of [6], let us state a theorem about a one-to-one correspondence between  $D$ -spaces and  $L^2$ -resolvents.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $(X, m)$  satisfy condition (D.1). A system  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  of linear, bounded and symmetric operators on  $L^2(X)$  is called an  $L^2$ -resolvent if it has the following properties.

(G.1) Sub-Markov property: if  $u \in L^2(X)$  and  $0 \leq u \leq 1$   $m$ -a.e. then  $0 \leq \alpha G_\alpha u \leq 1$   $m$ -a.e., for any  $\alpha > 0$ .

(G.2) Resolvent equation:  $G_\alpha - G_\beta + (\alpha - \beta)G_\alpha G_\beta = 0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ .

---

<sup>(1)</sup> N. Ikeda suggested to the author the last example of §3 and theorem of the appendix.

THEOREM 1. Let us fix  $(X, m)$  satisfying condition (D.1). For a given  $D$ -space  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  with underlying space  $(X, m)$ , there exists a unique  $L^2$ -resolvent  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  on  $L^2(X)$  satisfying the equation

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{E}^\alpha(G_\alpha u, v) = (u, v)_X$$

for any  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $u \in L^2(X)$  are arbitrarily fixed. Conversely, for a given  $L^2$ -resolvent  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  on  $L^2(X)$ , a  $D$ -space is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{F} = \left\{ u \in L^2(X); \lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(u - \beta G_\beta u, u)_X < +\infty \right\},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{E}(u, v) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(u - \beta G_\beta u, v)_X, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The correspondence defined by (2.2) and that defined by (2.3) and (2.4) are reciprocal to each other.

REMARK 2.1. (i) The proof of Theorem 1 was sketched in §2 of [6]. The essential ideas for the proof can be found in Beurling-Deny [1] and Deny [2]. So far as this theorem and the next lemma are concerned, condition (D.1) for  $(X, m)$  can be much weakened. These have been proved in [7] without the separability assumption for  $X$  (see also [8]). T. Shiga and T. Watanabe [16] gave a detailed proof of Theorem 1 under the assumption that, instead of (D.1), the underlying space  $(X, m)$  is merely a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space.

(ii) Condition (D.3) in the definition of  $D$ -space can be replaced with the following apparently weaker but equivalent condition (D.3)' [16].

(D.3)' Every unit contraction operates on  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ : if  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $v = (0 \vee u) \wedge 1$  is also in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(v, v) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$ . Here, the lattice operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  for functions on  $X$  are defined by  $(u_1 \vee u_2)(x) = \max(u_1(x), u_2(x))$  and  $u_1 \wedge u_2 = -((-u_1) \vee (-u_2))$ .

The next lemma states the basic properties of  $D$ -spaces which we need in the later discussions. Notice that, for a  $D$ -space,  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{E}^\beta$  define equivalent metrics on  $\mathcal{F}$  for any  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ .

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  be a  $D$ -space and  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  be its associated  $L^2$ -resolvent. Fix an  $\alpha_0 > 0$ .

(i) If  $S$  is a dense subset of  $L^2(X)$ , then, for any  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $G_\alpha(S)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to metric  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}$ .

(ii) For  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{E}^\alpha(u, v) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(u - \beta G_{\beta+\alpha} u, v)_X.$$

(iii) For any  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow +\infty} \beta G_\beta u = u$  strongly in norm  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}$  and hence strongly in  $L^2(X)$  sense.

(iv)  $\mathcal{F}$  is a function lattice: if  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $u \vee v, u \wedge v \in \mathcal{F}$ . Further  $u \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(v) If  $u$  and  $v$  are both in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $m$ -essentially bounded, then the product  $u \cdot v$  is also in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(vi) For  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ , put  $u_n = ((-n) \vee u) \wedge n$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n = u$  strongly in norm  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}$ .

**Proof.** (i) is a consequence of the equation (2.2).

(ii) is a consequence of Lemma 1 of [8].

(iii) For  $\beta > \alpha_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(\beta G_\beta u - u, \beta G_\beta u - u) &\leq \mathcal{E}^\beta(\beta G_\beta u - u, \beta G_\beta u - u) \\ &= \beta^2(\beta G_\beta u, u)_X - 2\beta(u, u)_X + \mathcal{E}^\beta(u, u) \\ &= -\beta(u - \beta G_\beta u, u)_X + \mathcal{E}(u, u) \rightarrow 0, \quad \beta \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Since  $|u|$  and  $u \wedge 1$  are normal contractions of  $u$ , they are in  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $u$  is. Note that

$$u \vee v = \frac{1}{2}((u+v) + |u-v|), \quad u \wedge v = \frac{1}{2}((u+v) - |u-v|).$$

(v) If  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $|u| \leq M$   $m$ -a.e. for some constant  $M$ , then  $u^2$  is a normal contraction of  $2Mu$  and hence  $u^2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Note that  $u \cdot v = \frac{1}{2}((u+v)^2 - (u-v)^2)$ .

(vi) By Lemma 2.1 of [6],  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(u_n, u_n)$  increases to  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(u, u)$  as  $n$  tends to infinity. On the other hand,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(u_n, G_{\alpha_0} w) = (u_n, w)_X \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} (u, w)_X = \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}(u, G_{\alpha_0} w)$$

for any  $w \in L^2(X)$ . These facts combined with the first statement of this lemma imply that  $u_n$  converges to  $u$  weakly and after all strongly with respect to the inner product  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}$ .

We will now give definitions and remarks concerning regularity of  $D$ -spaces. For a locally compact space  $X$ , denote by  $C(X)$  (resp.  $C_0(X)$ ) the space of all continuous functions vanishing at infinity (resp. with compact supports).  $C^+(X)$  (resp.  $C_0^+(X)$ ) will denote the set of all nonnegative elements of  $C(X)$  (resp.  $C_0(X)$ ). We say a measure  $m$  on  $X$  to be *everywhere dense* if  $m(E)$  is not zero for any non-empty open set  $E \subset X$ .

**DEFINITION 2.3.** A  $D$ -space  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  is called *regular* if  $m$  is everywhere dense and  $\mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$  is dense both in  $\mathcal{F}$  with norm  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}$  and in  $C(X)$  with uniform norm. Here,  $\alpha_0 > 0$  is arbitrarily fixed.

Next, consider  $(X, m)$  satisfying condition (D.1). For a sub-Markov resolvent kernel<sup>(2)</sup>  $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$  on  $X$ , we set

$$(2.6) \quad G_\alpha u(x) = \int_X G_\alpha(x, dy) u(y), \quad u \in C(X).$$

<sup>(2)</sup>  $G_\alpha(x, E)$  is called a kernel on  $X$  if, for a fixed  $x \in E$ ,  $G_\alpha(x, \cdot)$  is a Borel measure on  $X$  and, for a fixed Borel set  $E \subset X$ ,  $G_\alpha(\cdot, E)$  is a measurable function on  $X$ .

DEFINITION 2.4. (i) A sub-Markov resolvent kernel  $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$  on  $X$  is called *m-symmetric* if

$$\int_x G_\alpha u(x) \cdot v(x) m(dx) = \int_x u(x) \cdot G_\alpha v(x) m(dx) \leq +\infty$$

for any  $u, v \in C^+(X)$ . (ii) A sub-Markov resolvent kernel  $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$  on  $X$  is called a *Ray resolvent* if it satisfies the following conditions.

(R.a)  $G_\alpha(C(X)) \subset C(X)$  for any  $\alpha > 0$ .

(R.b) There exists a countable subcollection  $C_1$  of  $C^+(X)$  such that (a)  $C_1$  separates points of  $X$ , and, for any  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $u \in C_1$  whose value at  $x$  is not zero, (b) for some  $\alpha_0 > 0$ , every function  $u \in C_1$  satisfies the inequality  $\beta G_{\alpha_0 + \beta} u \leq u, \beta > 0$ .

Consider any *m*-symmetric sub-Markov resolvent kernel  $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$  on  $X$ . It satisfies the inequality  $(\alpha G_\alpha u, \alpha G_\alpha u)_X \leq (u, u)_X$  for all  $u \in L^2(X; m) \cap C(X)$  [16]. Therefore it determines a unique  $L^2$ -resolvent. The Dirichlet space associated with this  $L^2$ -resolvent will be said to be generated by the resolvent kernel  $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$ .

We will say the set  $C_1$  appearing in the definition of Ray resolvent to be attached to the given Ray resolvent.

DEFINITION 2.5. A  $D$ -space  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  is called *strongly regular* if  $m$  is everywhere dense on  $X$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  is generated by an *m*-symmetric Ray resolvent on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$  contains the set  $C_1$  attached to this Ray resolvent.

REMARK 2.2. (i) A strongly regular  $D$ -space is regular. To see this, let  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  be a strongly regular  $D$ -space and  $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$  be its associated Ray resolvent.  $\mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$  contains  $G_\alpha(L^2(X) \cap C(X))$ , which is dense in  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$  by virtue of Lemma 2.1(i). Owing to the fifth statement of the lemma,  $\mathcal{F} \cap C(X)$  is a function algebra. Since it contains the set  $C_1$  attached to  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ , it is dense in  $C(X)$  by Stone-Weierstrass theorem.

(ii) Consider a Ray resolvent  $\{G_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$  on a locally compact Hausdorff separable space  $X$ . Let  $\bar{X} = X \cup \{\infty\}$  be the one point compactification of  $X$  if  $X$  is not compact. If  $X$  is compact, let  $\{\infty\}$  be an isolated point. Define a new kernel  $\{\bar{G}_\alpha(x, E), \alpha > 0\}$  on  $\bar{X}$  by  $\bar{G}_\alpha(x, E) = G_\alpha(x, E \cap X) + ((1 - \alpha G_\alpha(x, X))/\alpha) \delta_{\{\infty\}}(E)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\bar{G}_\alpha(\{\infty\}, E) = (1/\alpha) \delta_{\{\infty\}}(E)$ . Then  $\{\bar{G}_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  is a conservative Ray resolvent on the compactum  $\bar{X}$ . By Ray's theory [15], [12], this defines on  $\bar{X}$  a right continuous conservative strong Markov process for which the point  $\{\infty\}$  is a trap. Thus, we obtain a right continuous strong Markov process  $(X_t, \zeta, P_x, x \in X)$  on  $X$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad G_\alpha(x, E) = E_x \left( \int_0^\zeta e^{-at} \chi_E(X_t) dt \right),$$

$\chi_E$  being the indicator function of the Borel set  $E$ . We will call the process on  $X$  so obtained the *Ray process* associated with the Ray resolvent  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  on  $X$ .

There is a Ray process on the underlying space of any strongly regular  $D$ -space.

3. **Examples.** Denote by  $D$  a domain of Euclidean  $N$ -space  $R^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ).

EXAMPLE 1. Let us put

$$\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D) = \{u; u \in L^2(D), \partial u / \partial x_i \in L^2(D), i = 1, 2, \dots, N\},$$

$$(u, v)_{D,1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_D \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} dx.$$

Here, derivatives are taken in Schwartz distribution sense and  $dx$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on  $R^N$ .

$(D, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$  is a  $D$ -space in our sense. Condition (D.3)' for this space can be verified easily (see Proposition A.1 of [16] or Théorème 3.1 of [3]). This space is not regular except when it coincides with  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$  of the next example. Denote by  $\bar{D}$  the closure of  $D$  in  $R^N$ . Let  $C^\infty(\bar{D})$  be the space of restrictions to  $\bar{D}$  of functions which are infinitely differentiable on  $R^N$ . If  $\partial D = \bar{D} - D$  is a closed hypersurface of class  $C^1$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D) \cap C^\infty(\bar{D})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$  [14]. Therefore, in this case,  $(\bar{D}, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})^{(3)}$  is a regular  $D$ -space. When  $D$  is bounded, the space  $(\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$  is generated by the continuous resolvent density constructed in [5] and in §8(I) of [6].

EXAMPLE 2. Denote by  $C_0^\infty(D)$  the space of infinitely differentiable functions on  $D$  with compact supports. Let  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$  be the closure of  $C_0^\infty(D)$  in

$$(\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1} + (\cdot, \cdot)_D).$$

$(D, dx, \mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$  is a regular  $D$ -space. Since  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$  coincides with the completion of  $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D) \cap C_0(D)$  with respect to metric  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1} + (\cdot, \cdot)_D$ , we can apply Corollary 3 of Appendix to show that it is a regular  $D$ -space. It is generated by a continuous resolvent density of the absorbing barrier Brownian motion on  $D$  [6]. It is strongly regular when each point of the boundary  $\partial D$  is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem for  $D$ .

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $M$  be the Martin boundary of the domain  $D$  and  $\mu$  be the harmonic measure on  $M$  with respect to a reference point  $x_0$  of  $D$ . J. L. Doob [4] introduced the space  $H_h'$  of measurable functions  $\varphi$  on  $M$  for which the integral

$$D_M(\varphi, \varphi) = \frac{q}{4} \int_M \int_M (\varphi(\xi) - \varphi(\eta))^2 \theta(\xi, \eta) \mu(d\xi) \mu(d\eta)$$

is finite. Here,  $\theta(\xi, \eta)$  is Naim's kernel on  $M$  and  $q$  is  $2\pi$  if  $N=2$  or the product of  $N-2$  and the unit ball boundary area if  $N>2$ . It was proved in [4] that  $H_h' \subset L^2(M; \mu)$ . We can easily see that  $(M, \mu, H_h', D_M(\cdot, \cdot))$  is a  $D$ -space. This is regular when  $D$  is a disk (§18 of [4]). Let  $H_h$  be the space of all harmonic functions on  $D$  with finite integrals  $(u, u)_{D,1}$ . Then,  $(H_h', D_M(\cdot, \cdot))$  is the trace on  $M$  of the space  $(H_h, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$  in the following sense: each function  $u$  of  $H_h$  has a fine boundary

<sup>(3)</sup> We regard here  $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^{1,2}(D)$  as a subspace of  $L^2(\bar{D}) (=L^2(D))$ . See Remark 5.2.

limit function  $\gamma u$  in  $H'_h$  and  $\gamma$  define a unitary map from  $(H_h, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1})$  onto  $(H'_h, D_M)$ . This is the reason why functions of  $H'_h$  were called in [4] BLD boundary functions.

A modification of the space  $(H'_h, D_M)$  was introduced in [6] in order to describe the space of all  $\alpha$ -harmonic functions of  $\mathcal{E}^{1,2}_L(D)$ . Suppose that  $D$  is bounded. Let  $U_\alpha(\xi, \eta)$  and  $U(\xi, \eta)$  be Feller kernels on  $M$ .  $U(\xi, \eta)$  is equal to  $(q/2) \cdot \theta(\xi, \eta)$   $\mu$ -a.e. Denote by  $\mu'$  the measure  $U_1 1 \cdot \mu$  on  $M$  and put  $H_M = H'_h \cap L^2(M; \mu')$ . Then,  $(M, \mu', H_M, D_M)$  is a  $D$ -space. By virtue of Lemma 3.1 and equality (3.21) of [6], it is clear that  $(M, \mu', H_M, D_M^{(\alpha)})$  is also a  $D$ -space for each  $\alpha > 0$ , where

$$D_M^{(\alpha)}(\varphi, \psi) = D_M(\varphi, \psi) + \int_M \int_M \varphi(\xi) U_\alpha(\xi, \eta) \psi(\eta) \mu(d\xi) \mu(d\eta).$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_L(D)$  in the Hilbert space

$$(\mathcal{E}^{1,2}_L(D), (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1} + \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)_D).$$

The space  $(H_M, D_M^{(\alpha)})$  is nothing but the trace on  $M$  of the space

$$(\mathcal{H}_\alpha, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1} + \alpha(\cdot, \cdot)_D) \quad (*).$$

EXAMPLE 4<sup>(5)</sup>. Assume that  $D$  is bounded. Let  $\Delta = \bigcup_{p \in P} E_p$  be a measurable partition of the Martin boundary  $M$ . Then,  $\Delta$  defines a Dirichlet subspace  $(\mathcal{F}_M^\Delta, D_M)$  of  $(H_M, D_M)$  by  $\mathcal{F}_M^\Delta = \{\varphi \in H_M; \text{there exists a set } E_\varphi \text{ such that } \mu'(E_\varphi) = 0 \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is a constant on } E_p - E_\varphi \text{ for each } p \in P\}$ . Even when  $D$  is a unit disk,  $(M, \mu', \mathcal{F}_M^\Delta, D_M)$  is no longer regular except for a trivial case that  $\mathcal{F}_M^\Delta$  is equal to  $H_M$ .

EXAMPLE 5. Consider the whole plane  $R^2$  and put

$$\mathcal{E}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dx dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u(x, 0)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v(x, 0)}{\partial x} dx,$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \{u \in C_0(R^2); u(x, y) \text{ is absolutely continuous in each variable } x \text{ and } y$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{E}(u, u) < +\infty\}.$$

For this space  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ , let us check the conditions of Theorem of Appendix. ( $\mathcal{A}.1$ ) and ( $\mathcal{A}.2$ ) are evident. To see ( $\mathcal{A}.3$ ), assume that a sequence  $u_n \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $(u_n, u_n)_{R^2} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}(u_n - u_m, u_n - u_m) \rightarrow 0$ . We have to prove  $\mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $u_n$  converges to zero in  $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}_L(R^2)$  with metric  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{R^2,1} + (\cdot, \cdot)_{R^2}$ , we can select a subsequence  $u_{n_k}$  such that  $u_{n_k}(x, y)$  converges to zero for every  $(x, y)$  except on a 2-dimensional Brownian polar set<sup>(6)</sup> [3]. Especially,  $u_{n_k}(x, 0)$  converges to zero for every  $x$  except on a set of linear Lebesgue measure zero.

Now it is easy to see that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\partial u_n(x, 0)/\partial x)^2 dx \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as was to be proved.

(\*) Theorem 3.4 of [6].

(5) See footnote 27 of [6].

(6) The subsequent paper [9] will provide general discussions of this point.

By means of Theorem of Appendix we get a  $D$ -space  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  on  $R^2$ ,  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^\alpha)$  being the completion of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}^\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha > 0$ . This  $D$ -space is regular because  $C_0^\infty(R^2) \subset \mathcal{A}$  (Corollary 1 of Appendix).

**4. Equivalence of  $D$ -spaces.** Consider a  $D$ -space  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ . For  $u \in L^\infty(X)$  ( $=L^\infty(X; m)$ ), put  $\|u\|_\infty = m\text{-ess sup}_{x \in X} |u(x)|$ . Let  $L$  be a closed subalgebra of  $(L^\infty(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . It is well known that  $L$  is then a function lattice and that  $u \in L$  implies  $u \wedge 1 \in L$ . Therefore, by making use of Lemma 2.1(iv) and (v), we get the next lemma.

**LEMMA 4.1.**  $\mathcal{F} \cap L$  is a function algebra and a function lattice. Further,  $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L$  implies  $u \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{F} \cap L$ .

Now we are in a position to define an equivalence relation in the set of all  $D$ -spaces.

**DEFINITION 4.1.** Two  $D$ -spaces  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  are called *equivalent* if there is an algebraic isomorphism  $\Phi$  from  $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty(X)$  onto  $\mathcal{F}' \cap L^\infty(X')$  and  $\Phi$  preserves three kinds of metrics:  $\|u\|_\infty = \|\Phi u\|'_\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(u, u) = \mathcal{E}'(\Phi u, \Phi u)$  and  $(u, u)_X = (\Phi u, \Phi u)_{X'}$  for  $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty(X)$ .

This definition of equivalence is the same as that of [8] where the definition is given in terms of the associated  $D$ -rings.

It is not difficult to see that the mapping  $\Phi$  of Definition 4.1 turns out to be a lattice isomorphism and further  $\Phi$  can be extended to a unitary map  $\Phi_1$  from  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  onto  $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  and a unitary map  $\Phi_2$  from  $L_0^2(X)$  onto  $L_0^2(X')$ . Here,  $L_0^2(X)$  (resp.  $L_0^2(X')$ ) is the closure of  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}'$ ) in the metric space  $L^2(X)$  (resp.  $L^2(X')$ ). We can use Lemma 2.1(vi) to define the extension  $\Phi_1$ . The  $L^2$ -resolvents  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  associated with equivalent  $D$ -spaces are mutually related by  $G'_\alpha u' = \Phi_2 G_\alpha \Phi_2^{-1} u'$ ,  $u' \in L_0^2(X')$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . This relation is proved in [8].

Before proceeding to the next sections, we will summarize here some facts related to Gelfand representations of subalgebras of  $L^\infty$ . Let  $(X, m)$  be as above and  $L$  be a closed subalgebra of the real Banach algebra  $(L^\infty(X; m), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ . A nonzero algebraic homomorphism  $\chi$  from  $L$  into real numbers is called a (real) *character* on  $L$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of all characters on  $L$ . An algebraic homomorphism  $\Phi$  from  $L$  into real functions on  $\mathcal{M}$  can be defined by

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi u(\chi) = \chi(u), \quad u \in L, \quad \chi \in \mathcal{M}.$$

We define a neighborhood of  $\chi \in \mathcal{M}$  by

$$(4.2) \quad N(\chi; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n; \varepsilon) = \{\chi' \in \mathcal{M}; |\Phi u_k(\chi') - \Phi u_k(\chi)| < \varepsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

with any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in L$ . The set  $\mathcal{M}$  endowed with topology (4.2) will be called the *character space* of  $L$ .

**LEMMA 4.2.** (i) *The character space  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $L$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space. If the algebra  $L$  is countably generated, then  $\mathcal{M}$  is separable.  $\mathcal{M}$  is compact if and only if  $1 \in L$ .*



(ii) The map  $\Phi$  of (4.1) is an algebraic isomorphism and isometry from  $(L, \| \cdot \|_\infty)$  onto  $C(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $C(\mathcal{M})$  being associated with the uniform norm.

(iii) Suppose that  $m$  is everywhere dense  $L \subset C_b(X)$  (the space of continuous bounded functions on  $X$ ) and, for any  $x \in X$ , there is a  $u \in L$  with  $u(x) \neq 0$ . There exists then a continuous mapping  $q$  from  $X$  onto a dense subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  characterized by

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi u(qx) = u(x), \quad x \in X, \quad u \in L.$$

**Proof.** Consider the space  $A = L + (-1)^{1/2}L$  with uniform norm  $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ . This is a complex Banach algebra closed under the operation of taking complex conjugate function. If  $u \in A$ , then

$$\frac{|u|^2}{1+|u|^2} = \frac{1}{1+a^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |u|^2 \left( \frac{a^2 - |u|^2}{1+a^2} \right)^k \in L,$$

where  $a = \|u\|_\infty$ . Therefore,  $A$  is a symmetric algebra and the character space  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $L$  can be identified with the space of regular maximal ideals of  $A$  (Loomis [13, subsections 23A and 26C]). Now statements (i) and (ii) of our lemma are known facts. The statement (iii) is evident but we give its proof here for later conveniences. Fix an  $x \in X$ . A map  $u \rightarrow u(x)$  is clearly a character on  $L$  which we denote by  $qx$ .  $q$  is continuous at  $x \in X$  because any neighborhood  $N(\chi; u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n; \varepsilon)$  of  $\chi = qx$  includes the set  $q(U(x))$ , where  $U(x)$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  defined by  $U(x) = \{x' \in X; |u_k(x') - u_k(x)| < \varepsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose that  $q(X)$  is not dense in  $\mathcal{M}$ . There is then a nonvanishing  $v \in C(\mathcal{M})$  such that  $v = 0$  on  $q(X)$ . By (ii) and (4.3), we have  $\|v\|_\infty = \|\Phi^{-1}v\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |\Phi^{-1}v(x)| = \sup_{x \in X} |v(qx)| = 0$ , which is a contradiction.

Finally we will state the following lemma according to 26J of [13].

**LEMMA 4.3.** Suppose that  $\tilde{L}$  is a dense ideal of  $L$  and every function in  $\tilde{L}$  can be expressed as a difference of nonnegative functions in  $\tilde{L}$ . Then, for any positive linear functional  $l$  on  $\tilde{L}$ , there exists a unique Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(\tilde{L}) &\subset L^1(\mathcal{M}; \mu), \\ l(u \cdot v) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \Phi u(\chi) \Phi v(\chi) \mu(d\chi), \quad u \in \tilde{L}, \quad v \in L. \end{aligned}$$

**5. Regular representations.** Suppose that we are given a  $D$ -space  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ . A closed subalgebra  $L$  of  $L^\infty(X; m)$  will be said to satisfy condition (C) if it enjoys the following three properties.

(C.1)  $L$  is a countably generated closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X; m)$ .

(C.2)  $\mathcal{F} \cap L$  is dense both in  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$  and in  $(L, \| \cdot \|_\infty)$ ,  $\alpha_0$  being a fixed positive number.

(C.3)  $L^1(X; m) \cap L$  is dense in  $(L, \| \cdot \|_\infty)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** (i) There exists at least one  $L$  satisfying the condition (C). (ii) Let an  $L$  satisfying condition (C) be fixed and  $X'$  be its character space.  $X'$  is compact if

and only if  $1 \in L$ . There exists a regular  $D$ -space whose underlying space is  $X'$  and which is equivalent to the given  $D$ -space.

The regular  $D$ -space of Theorem 2(ii) will be called a *regular representation of the given  $D$ -space with respect to the algebra  $L$* .

**Proof of Theorem 2(i).** We can find a countable subset  $D_0$  of  $C_0(X)$  such that each function in  $C_0(X)$  can be uniformly approximated by a sequence of functions in  $D_0$  whose supports are included in a suitable common compactum.  $D_0$  is dense in  $L^2(X; m)$ . Let  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  be the  $L^2$ -resolvent associated with the given  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ . Then,  $G_{\alpha_0}(D_0) \subset \mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty(X; m)$  and  $G_{\alpha_0}(D_0)$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$  by Lemma 2.1(i). We define  $L$  as the closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X; m)$  generated by  $G_{\alpha_0}(D_0)$ . It is clear that this  $L$  satisfies conditions (C.1) and (C.2). As for (C.3), observe that

$$G_{\alpha_0}(D_0) \subset L^1(X; m) \cap L$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |G_{\alpha_0} u| dm &\leq \int_X G_{\alpha_0} |u| dm = \sup_{0 \leq v \leq 1, v \in C_0(X)} (v, G_{\alpha_0} |u|)_X \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \int_X |u| dm < +\infty, \quad u \in D_0. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of Theorem 2(ii).** Let  $L$  be a space satisfying condition (C) and  $X'$  be its character space. By (C.1) and Lemma 4.2(i),  $X'$  is a locally compact Hausdorff and separable space.  $X'$  is compact if and only if  $1 \in L$ . The map  $\Phi$  of (4.1) is giving an algebraic isomorphism and isometry from  $L$  onto  $C(X')$ .  $\Phi$  is consequently a lattice isomorphism and it holds that  $\Phi(u \wedge 1) = (\Phi u) \wedge 1$  for  $u \in L$ . Let us put

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{F} \cap L, \quad \mathcal{R}' = \Phi(\mathcal{R}).$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $L$  by (C.2),  $\mathcal{R}'$  is dense in  $C(X')$ . Further, by Lemma 4.1,  $\mathcal{R}'$  is a lattice and  $u' \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{R}'$  whenever  $u' \in \mathcal{R}'$ .

Keeping these in mind, we are now to construct, step by step, a regular representation  $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  by making use of the map  $\Phi$  of (4.1).

(I) A measure  $m'$  on  $X'$ . There exists a unique Radon measure  $m'$  on  $X'$  which satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(L^1(X; m) \cap L) &\subset L^1(X'; m'), \\ \int_X u(x)v(x)m(dx) &= \int_{X'} \Phi u(x')\Phi v(x')m'(dx'), \quad u \in L^1(X; m) \cap L, \quad v \in L. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, by virtue of (C.3), we can apply Lemma 4.3 to a dense ideal  $\tilde{L} = L^1(X; m) \cap L$  and a positive linear functional

$$l(u) = \int_X u(x)m(dx), \quad u \in \tilde{L}.$$

Consider the spaces  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}'$  of (5.1). Since condition (C.2) implies that  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $L^2$ -sense, we have

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{R} \subset L^2(X; m), \quad \bar{\mathcal{R}} = L_0^2(X; m),$$

where the closure is taken in  $L^2$ -sense and  $L_0^2(X; m)$  denotes  $\mathcal{F}$ . Next we will prove

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{R}' \subset L^2(X'; m'), \quad \bar{\mathcal{R}}' = L^2(X'; m').$$

For any  $u \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $(\Phi u)^2 = \Phi(u^2) \in \Phi(L \cap L^1(X; m))$  and hence  $\Phi u \in L^2(X'; m')$  according to (5.2). In order to show that  $\mathcal{R}'$  is dense in  $L^2(X'; m')$ , take a function  $u$  in  $C_0^+(X')$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}'$  is uniformly dense in  $C(X')$  and is a lattice, we can find a  $v \in \mathcal{R}'$  and  $u_n \in \mathcal{R}'$  such that  $0 \leq u_n \leq v$  and  $u_n$  converges to  $u$  uniformly on  $X'$ . Hence,  $u_n$  converges to  $u$  in  $L^2(X'; m')$ .

Finally let us show

$$(5.5) \quad \int_X u(x)v(x)m(dx) = \int_{X'} u'(x')v'(x')m'(dx'), \quad u, v \in \mathcal{R},$$

where  $u' = \Phi u$  and  $v' = \Phi v$ . Take a nonnegative  $v \in \mathcal{R}$ . By condition (C.3) and the obvious fact that  $L^1(X; m) \cap L$  is a lattice, we can select  $v_n \in L^1(X; m) \cap L$  such as  $0 \leq v_n \leq v$   $m$ -a.e. and  $\|v_n - v\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\Phi$  is a lattice isomorph and preserves the uniform norm, the same relations hold for  $v'_n$  and  $v'$ . Now (5.2) for  $u = v = v_n$  leads us to

$$\int_X v(x)^2 m(dx) = \int_{X'} v'(x')^2 m'(dx')$$

which implies (5.5) because each element of  $\mathcal{R}$  is expressed as a difference of non-negative elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\Phi$  is an algebraic isomorphism.

(II) *Extended map  $\Phi$  on  $L_0^2(X; m)$ .* In view of (5.3), (5.4), and (5.5) of the preceding paragraph, the algebraic and lattice isomorphism  $\Phi$  from  $\mathcal{R}$  to  $\mathcal{R}'$  can be uniquely extended to

( $\Phi$ .1) A unitary map  $\Phi$  from  $L_0^2(X; m)$  onto  $L^2(X'; m')$ .

Let us study the features of this extended map  $\Phi$ . It has the following properties.

( $\Phi$ .2)  $L_0^2(X; m)$  is a lattice and  $\Phi$  is a lattice isomorphism.  $\Phi(u \wedge 1) = (\Phi u) \wedge 1$  whenever  $u \in L_0^2(X; m)$ .

( $\Phi$ .3)  $\Phi$  is an algebraic isomorphism from  $L_0^2(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m)$  onto

$$L^2(X'; m') \cap L^\infty(X'; m').$$

Further it holds that

$$(5.6) \quad \|u\|_\infty = \|\Phi u\|'_\infty, \quad u \in L_0^2(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m).$$

To prove ( $\Phi$ .2), take a  $u \in L_0^2(X; m)$  and find a sequence  $u_n \in \mathcal{R}$  which converges to  $u$  in  $L^2$ -sense. Since  $|u_n| \in \mathcal{R}$  converges to  $|u|$  in  $L^2$ -sense,  $|u| \in L_0^2(X; m)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is a lattice isomorph on  $\mathcal{R}$  and preserves  $L^2$ -norm, we have  $\Phi|u| = 1$ .i.m.  $\Phi|u_n| = 1$ .i.m.  $|\Phi u_n| = |\Phi u|$ . Thus we have proved the first half of ( $\Phi$ .2). The latter half is similarly proved.

The property  $(\Phi.3)$  follows from  $(\Phi.2)$ . In fact, for  $u \in L_0^2(X; m)$  with  $\|u\|_\infty = a < +\infty$ , we have  $|\Phi u| = \Phi(|u|) = \Phi(|u| \wedge a) = |\Phi u| \wedge a$  which means  $\|\Phi u\|_\infty \leq \|u\|_\infty$ . In the same way, we have  $\|u'\|_\infty \geq \|\Phi^{-1}u'\|_\infty$  for  $u' \in L^2(X'; m') \cap L^\infty(X'; m')$ . To see that  $\Phi$  is an algebraic isomorphism, take a  $u \in L_0^2(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m)$  and a sequence  $u_n \in \mathcal{R}$  which converges to  $u$  in  $L^2$ -sense. We may assume that  $|u_n| \leq \|u\|_\infty$ . Then  $u_n^2$  (resp.  $(\Phi u_n)^2$ ) converges to  $u^2$  (resp.  $(\Phi u)^2$ ) in  $L^2$ -sense. Since  $\Phi$  is an algebraic isomorphism on  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\Phi(u^2) = \text{l.i.m. } \Phi(u_n^2) = (\Phi u)^2$ .

(III) *Induced D-space*  $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ . By means of the preceding map  $\Phi$  on  $L_0^2(X; m) \supset \mathcal{F}$ , we define

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}' &= \Phi(\mathcal{F}), \\ \mathcal{E}'(u', v') &= \mathcal{E}(\Phi^{-1}u', \Phi^{-1}v'), \quad u', v' \in \mathcal{F}'. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  is a  $D$ -space.

Condition (D.1) for  $(X', m')$  has already been proved and (D.2) for  $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  is obvious by the property  $(\Phi.1)$  of  $\Phi$ . Instead of proving (D.3), let us check an equivalent condition (D.3)' in Remark 2.1. Take  $u' \in \mathcal{F}'$  and put  $v' = (0 \vee u') \wedge 1$ ,  $u = \Phi^{-1}u'$ . Then we have  $v' = 0 \vee \Phi u \wedge 1 = \Phi(0 \vee u \wedge 1)$  by  $(\Phi.2)$ . Since  $v = 0 \vee u \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(u, v) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$ ,  $v' \in \mathcal{F}'$  and  $\mathcal{E}'(v', v') \leq \mathcal{E}'(u', u')$  proving (D.3)'.

(IV)  $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  is equivalent to  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ . This is evident from  $(\Phi.1)$ ,  $(\Phi.3)$  and (5.7).

(V)  $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  is regular.  $\Phi$  preserves  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}$ -norm and the uniform norm on  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{F} \cap L$ . Hence by virtue of condition (C.2),  $\mathcal{R}' = \Phi(\mathcal{R})$  is dense both in  $\mathcal{F}'$  and in  $C(X')$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is the intersection of  $\mathcal{F}$  and the uniform closure of  $\mathcal{R}$ , the same relation holds for  $\mathcal{R}'$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$ . Therefore

$$(5.8) \quad \mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{F}' \cap C(X').$$

On the other hand we have by (5.6),

$$(5.9) \quad \sup_{x' \in X'} |u'(x')| = m'\text{-ess sup}_{x' \in X'} |u'(x')|, \quad u' \in \mathcal{F}' \cap C(X').$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$  is dense in  $C(X')$ , (5.9) means that  $m'$  is everywhere dense on  $X'$ . The proof of (V) is complete.

The proof of Theorem 2 has ended.

The next remarks and lemma will state the meaning of Theorem 2 for special cases.

REMARK 5.1. Suppose that the given  $D$ -space  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  is regular. Since  $m$  is everywhere dense,  $C(X)$  may be considered as a closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty(X; m)$ . Obviously  $C(X)$  satisfies conditions (C.1) and (C.2). It also satisfies (C.3) because of  $L^1(X; m) \cap C(X) \supset C_0(X)$ . Therefore, we may consider the regular representation with respect to  $C(X)$ . However, as is well known, the character space of  $C(X)$  coincides with  $X$  itself, and after all the regular representation goes back to the given regular  $D$ -space without any change.

LEMMA 5.1. Suppose that  $m$  is everywhere dense. Suppose further that an algebra  $L$  satisfies not only conditions (C.1), (C.2) and (C.3) but also the following.

(C.4)  $L \subset C_0(X)$ ,  $L$  separates points of  $X$  and, at any  $x \in X$ , there is a  $u \in L$  such that  $u(x) \neq 0$ .

Let  $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  be the regular representation with respect to this  $L$ . Then,

(i)  $X$  is continuously embedded onto a dense subset of  $X'$ . By this embedding, any Borel set of  $X$  goes to a Borel set of  $X'$  and the restriction to  $X$  of any Borel set of  $X'$  is a Borel set of  $X$  (with respect to the original topology).

(ii) For any Borel subset  $A$  of  $X'$ ,  $m'(A) = m(A \cap X)$ . Therefore, the space  $(L^2(X'; m'), (\cdot, \cdot)_{X'})$  is identified with the space  $(L^2(X; m), (\cdot, \cdot)_X)$ .

(iii) By the above identification,  $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  is equal to  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ .

**Proof.** By virtue of (C.4), the map  $q$  of (4.3) from  $X$  onto a dense subset of  $X'$  is not only continuous but also one-to-one. The rest of the lemma is obvious.

REMARK 5.2. Consider the situation of Example 1 of §3. If  $\partial D$  is of class  $C^1$ , then the space  $L = \{u \in C_0(D); u \text{ is continuously extendable to } \bar{D}\}$  satisfies conditions (C.1)~(C.4).  $\{\bar{D}, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^1, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1}\}$  is just the regular representation of  $\{D, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^1, (\cdot, \cdot)_{D,1}\}$  with respect to this  $L$ . In this case,  $D$  is homeomorphically embedded into  $\bar{D}$ . Coming back to the general case of Lemma 5.1,  $X$  is homeomorphically embedded onto a dense subset of  $X'$  if and only if for any  $x_0 \in X$  and  $Y \subset X$  such as  $x_0 \notin \bar{Y}$ , there exists  $u \in L$  such that  $u(x_0) = 1$  and  $u(x) = 0$  on  $Y$ .

**6. Strongly regular representations.** Suppose that we are given a  $D$ -space  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ . Denote by  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  its associated  $L^2$ -resolvent.

LEMMA 6.1. (i)  $G_\alpha$  makes the space  $L^2(X; m) \cap L^\infty(X; m)$  invariant and

$$(6.1) \quad \|G_\alpha u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|u\|_\infty, \quad u \in L^2 \cap L^\infty.$$

(ii)  $G_\alpha$  makes the space  $L^\infty(X; m) \cap L^1(X; m) (\subset L^2(X; m))$  invariant and

$$(6.2) \quad \int_X |G_\alpha u(x)| m(dx) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_X |u(x)| m(dx), \quad u \in L^\infty \cap L^1.$$

Inequality (6.2) for  $u \in C_0(X)$  has already been proved in the proof of Theorem 2(i). The proof for  $u \in L^\infty \cap L^1$  is the same. The rest of Lemma 6.1 is clear.

Owing to Lemma 6.1(i),  $G_\alpha$  on  $L^2 \cap L^\infty$  can be uniquely extended to a linear operator  $\bar{G}_\alpha$  on  $L_0^\infty(X; m)$  (the closure of  $L^2 \cap L^\infty$  in  $L^\infty$ ).  $\{\bar{G}_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  is a *sub-Markov resolvent* on  $L_0^\infty$ , that is,

( $\bar{G}$ .1) If  $u \in L_0^\infty$  and  $0 \leq u \leq 1$   $m$ -a.e. then  $0 \leq \bar{G}_\alpha u \leq 1$   $m$ -a.e.

$$(\bar{G} \cdot 2) \quad \bar{G}_\alpha - \bar{G}_\beta + (\alpha - \beta) \bar{G}_\alpha \bar{G}_\beta = 0, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

A closed subalgebra  $L$  of  $L_0^\infty(X; m)$  is said to satisfy condition (R) if it enjoys the following two properties.

(R.1)  $\bar{G}_\alpha(L) \subset L$  for every  $\alpha > 0$ .

(R.2)  $L$  is generated by a countable subset  $L_0$  of  $\mathcal{F} \cap L$  such that each  $u \in L_0$  is nonnegative and satisfies  $\alpha \bar{G}_{\alpha+\alpha_0} u \leq u$ ,  $m$ -a.e.,  $\alpha > 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.** (i) *There exists an  $L$  satisfying condition (R) as well as (C).* (ii) *Fix an  $L$  which satisfies (C) and (R). The regular representation of the given  $D$ -space with respect to this  $L$  turns out to be strongly regular.*

We need the next lemma for the proof of Theorem 3(i).

**LEMMA 6.2.** *Let  $S_0$  be a set of countable nonnegative functions in  $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$ . Then, there exists a set  $S$  possessing the following features.*

(S.1)  $S \supset S_0$  and  $S$  is a countably generated subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$ . Each function of  $S$  is expressed as a difference of nonnegative functions of  $S$ .

(S.2) For any  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\bar{G}_\alpha$  makes the space  $\bar{S}$  invariant,  $\bar{S}$  being the closure of  $S$  in  $L^\infty$ .

**Proof.** According to F. Knight [11, Lemma 1], we construct  $S$  as follows. Starting with  $S_0$ , assume  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  are defined. Define  $S_{n+1}$  as an algebra generated by  $\{S_n, G_{a_1}(S_n), \dots, G_{a_n}(S_n), G_{a_{n+1}}(S_n)\}$ , where  $\{a_k\}$  is the set of all positive rational numbers. Put  $S = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty S_n$ , which satisfies condition (S.1) by virtue of Lemma 6.1 and of the fact that  $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$  is an algebra (Lemma 4.1). It is easy to see that condition (S.2) is met.

**Proof of Theorem 3(i).** Let  $D_0^+$  be a countable subset of  $C_0^+(X)$  such that the set  $D_0 = \{u = u_1 - u_2; u_i \in D_0^+, i = 1, 2\}$  has the property in the proof of Theorem 2(i). Put  $S_0 = G_{\alpha_0}(D_0^+)$ , which satisfies the following.

(S<sub>0</sub>.1)  $S_0$  is a countable set of nonnegative functions in  $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$ .

(S<sub>0</sub>.2) The set  $\{u = u_1 - u_2; u_i \in S_0, i = 1, 2\}$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$ .

(S<sub>0</sub>.3)  $\alpha G_{\alpha+\alpha_0} u \leq u$   $m$ -a.e. for  $u \in S_0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

For such an  $S_0$ , let  $S$  be a set which satisfies conditions (S.1) and (S.2) of Lemma 6.2. By (S.1), there exists a set  $\tilde{S}$  of countable nonnegative functions in  $S$  whose linearization is just  $S$ . Let us put

$$(6.3) \quad L_0 = S_0 \cup G_{\alpha_0}(\tilde{S}),$$

$$(6.4) \quad L = \text{the closed subalgebra of } L^\infty \text{ generated by } L_0,$$

then the space  $L$  meets both conditions (C) and (R).

In order to check condition (C) of §5, denote by  $\mathcal{R}_0$  the algebra generated by  $L_0$ . By (S<sub>0</sub>.1), (S.1) and Lemma 6.1,  $L_0$  and hence  $\mathcal{R}_0$  are included in  $\mathcal{F} \cap L^\infty \cap L^1$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \mathcal{F} \cap L$  and that  $L$  is the closure of  $\mathcal{R}_0$  in  $L^\infty$ . Therefore both  $\mathcal{F} \cap L$  and  $L^1(X; m) \cap L$  are dense in  $L$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}_0$  contains the set of (S<sub>0</sub>.2),  $\mathcal{F} \cap L$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0})$ .

Coming to condition (R), it is clear that condition (R.2) is satisfied by  $L_0$  of (6.3). Observe that  $L$  is the closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty$  generated by  $S_0 \cup \bar{G}_{\alpha_0}(\tilde{S})$

By conditions (S.1) and (S.2), this means  $L \subset \bar{S}$  and hence  $\bar{G}_\alpha(L) \subset \bar{G}_\alpha(\bar{S}) = \bar{G}_{\alpha_0}(\bar{S}) \subset L$  proving property (R.1) for  $L$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3(ii).** Let us fix an  $L$  which satisfies conditions (C) and (R) and let  $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  be the regular representation with respect to  $L$  according to Theorem 2(ii). We have to prove that  $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  is generated by a Ray resolvent kernel on  $X'$  and  $\mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$  contains a set  $C'_1$  attached to the Ray resolvent (Definition 2.5).

A Ray resolvent can be constructed by  $\Phi$  of (4.1) which is an algebraic isomorph and isometry from  $L$  onto  $C(X')$ .  $\Phi$  is a lattice isomorph and satisfies  $\Phi(u \wedge 1) = (\Phi u) \wedge 1$  for  $u \in L$ . Indeed,

$$(6.5) \quad \bar{G}'_\alpha u' = \Phi \bar{G}_\alpha \Phi^{-1} u', \quad u' \in C(X'), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

$$(6.6) \quad C'_1 = \Phi(L_0)$$

define a Ray resolvent operator  $\{\bar{G}'_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  on  $C(X')$  and a set  $C'_1$  attached to it.

$\bar{G}'_\alpha$  is a sub-Markov resolvent on  $C(X')$  on account of (R.1) for  $L$  and  $(\bar{G}.1)$ ,  $(\bar{G}.2)$  for  $\bar{G}_\alpha$  on  $L_0^\infty$ . (R.2) implies that  $C'_1$  generates the closed algebra  $C(X')$  and so that  $C'_1$  separates points of  $X'$  and, for any  $x' \in X'$ , there exists  $u' \in C'_1$  nonvanishing at  $x'$ . The inequalities  $u' \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \bar{G}'_{\alpha+\alpha_0} u' \leq u'$  for  $u' \in C'_1$  are obvious from (R.2).

We see that  $C'_1$  is included in  $\mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$  because of (5.8) and (R.2).

Finally, let us prove that  $\{\bar{G}'_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  generates the space  $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ . It suffices to show

$$(6.7) \quad \bar{G}'_\alpha u = G'_\alpha u, \quad m'\text{-a.e.}, \quad u \in L^2(X'; m') \cap C(X'),$$

where  $\{G'_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  is the  $L^2$ -resolvent associated with  $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$ .

Observe that  $G'_\alpha$  is related to the  $L^2$ -resolvent  $G_\alpha$  associated with  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  as follows.

$$(6.8) \quad G'_\alpha u' = \Phi_2 G_\alpha \Phi_2^{-1} u', \quad u' \in L^2(X'; m').$$

Here,  $\Phi_2$  denotes the unitary map from  $L_0^2(X; m)$  onto  $L^2(X'; m')$  as appeared in step (II) of the proof of Theorem 2(ii). We have indeed by (5.7),  $\mathcal{E}'^\alpha(G'_\alpha u', v') = (u', v')_{X'} = (\Phi_2^{-1} u', \Phi_2^{-1} v')_X = \mathcal{E}^\alpha(G_\alpha \Phi_2^{-1} u', \Phi_2^{-1} v') = \mathcal{E}'^\alpha(\Phi_2 G_\alpha \Phi_2^{-1} u', v')$  for any  $v' \in \mathcal{F}'$ .

Since  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi_2$  coincide on  $\mathcal{F} \cap L$  and  $\bar{G}_\alpha$  is equal to  $G_\alpha$  on  $\mathcal{F} \cap L$ , (6.5) and (6.8) lead us to the equality (6.7) for  $u' \in \mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$ . However  $\mathcal{F}' \cap C(X')$  is dense in  $C(X')$ . Therefore, taking sub-Markovity of  $\bar{G}'_\alpha$  and  $G'_\alpha$  into account, we get (6.7) for  $u' \in L^2(X'; m') \cap C(X')$ .

The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

The next lemma expresses the meaning of Theorem 3 for a special case.

**LEMMA 6.1.** *Suppose that  $m$  is everywhere dense. Suppose further that the next condition is satisfied.*

(G.3)  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  is generated by a symmetric resolvent kernel  $\{\tilde{G}_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  on  $X$  such that  $\tilde{G}_\alpha$  transforms  $C_b(X)$  into  $C_b(X)$  and  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha \tilde{G}_\alpha u(x) = u(x)$  for any  $x \in X$ ,  $u \in C_b(X)$ .

(i) There exists then an algebra  $L$  which satisfies not only (C) and (R) but also the additional condition (C.4) of Lemma 5.1.

(ii) Let  $(X', m', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{E}')$  be the regular representation with respect to such an  $L$ . Then, this is strongly regular and  $X$  is embedded onto a dense subset of  $X'$  in such a way as Lemma 5.1. The associated Ray resolvent kernel  $\bar{G}'_\alpha$  on  $X'$  is an extension of  $\tilde{G}_\alpha$  of (G.3) in the following sense. For any Borel set  $A$  of  $X$ ,

$$(6.9) \quad \bar{G}'_\alpha(x, A) = \tilde{G}_\alpha(x, A), \quad x \in X.$$

**Proof.** (i) By replacing  $L^2$ -resolvent  $\{G_\alpha\}$  with the smooth resolvent  $\{\tilde{G}_\alpha\}$  of (G.3), we can repeat the arguments of the proof of Theorem 3(i) to get an  $L$  in  $C_b(X)$ . Moreover,  $S_0 (\subset L)$  separates points of  $X$ . In fact, assume that  $\tilde{G}_{\alpha_0} u(x) = \tilde{G}_{\alpha_0} u(y)$  for every  $u \in D_0^+$ . Then, it is valid for  $u \in C_b(X)$ . Hence  $\alpha \tilde{G}_\alpha u(x) = \alpha \tilde{G}_\alpha u(y)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$  and  $u \in C_b(X)$ . By letting  $\alpha$  tend to infinity, we have  $u(x) = u(y)$ ,  $u \in C_b(X)$ , which means  $x = y$ . In the same way, we see the existence of some function of  $S_0$  nonvanishing at any preassigned point of  $X$ .

(ii) The identity (6.8) is equivalent to

$$(6.10) \quad \bar{G}'_\alpha u'(x) = \tilde{G}_\alpha u(x), \quad u' \in C(X'), \quad x \in X,$$

where  $u = u'|_X$  the restriction of  $u'$  to  $X$ . The right-hand side of (6.10) makes sense because  $u \in C_b(X)$ . Since (4.3) implies  $u'|_X = \Phi^{-1}u'$  for any  $u' \in C(X')$ , we have

$$\bar{G}'_\alpha u'|_X = \Phi^{-1} \bar{G}'_\alpha u' = \Phi^{-1} \Phi \bar{G}_\alpha \Phi^{-1} u' = \bar{G}_\alpha u, \quad u' \in C(X'), \quad \text{by (6.5).}$$

However,  $\bar{G}_\alpha$  and  $\tilde{G}_\alpha$  are identical on  $L$  for they are on  $L^2(X; m) \cap C(X)$ .

**REMARK 6.1.** We may consider that Theorem 3 treats the problem of finding strong Markov processes for a given resolvent operator. Theorem 3 solves this problem demanding that the construction procedure does not change the structure of certain associated function spaces. If we take off such a demand, we have much more possibilities of getting strong Markov processes. The proof of Theorem 3 indicates the following.

Suppose that we are given a sub-Markov resolvent operator  $\{\bar{G}_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  on a closed subalgebra  $A$  of  $B(X)$  or  $L^\infty(X; m)$ . Here,  $B(X)$  denotes the space of bounded functions with uniform norm. No kind of assumption of symmetry is imposed on  $\bar{G}_\alpha$ .

(I) If we are given a closed subalgebra  $L$  of  $A$  which satisfies condition (R)<sup>(7)</sup>, then (6.5) defines a Ray resolvent (and consequently a strong Markov process of Ray in the sense of Remark 2.2(ii)) on the very character space  $X'$  of  $L$ .

<sup>(7)</sup> Here the term of condition (R) is used under a trivial modification that we do not require  $L_0$  of (R.2) to be a subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ .



(II) Let  $D_0^+$  be any countable subcollection of  $A^+$ . Then  $D_0^+$  generates an  $L$  satisfying condition (R) quite in the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.

Our method to get  $L$  which satisfies (R) is due to H. Kunita and T. Watanabe [12]. The above mentioned facts tell the generality of their method and the scope of the Ray process.

REMARK 6.2. Consider a bounded domain  $D$  of  $R^N$ . The  $D$ -space of Example 1 of §3 meets the condition (G.3) of Lemma 6.1. According to Lemma 6.1, we get its strongly regular representation accompanied by a Ray process on an extension  $D'$  of  $D$ . On the other hand, we adopted in [5] the compactification  $D^*$  of  $D$  with respect to  $G_1(D_0^+)$  to serve as a state space of an extended strong Markov process—a reflecting Brownian motion. This process is not necessarily a Ray's one in the strict sense of the word. However, it turns out that  $(D^*, dx, \mathcal{E}_{L^2}^1, ( , )_{D,1})$  is a regular representation of the given  $D$ -space, for the algebra generated by  $G_1(D_0^+)$  and 1 is obviously dense both in  $C(D^*)$  and in  $\mathcal{E}_{L^2}^1$ .

The situation is quite the same for the  $D$ -space generated by each resolvent density of class  $G$  in [6].

**Appendix.** *Construction of  $D$ -spaces by means of completion.* Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff and separable space and  $m$  be a Radon measure on  $X$ . A pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$  is said to satisfy condition (A) if it enjoys the next three conditions.

(A.1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear subspace of  $L^2(X; m)$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(A.2) If  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $v = (0 \vee u) \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(v, v) \leq \mathcal{E}(u, u)$ .

(A.3) If  $u_n \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $(u_n, u_n)_X \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}(u_n - u_m, u_n - u_m) \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Condition (A.1) means that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a real pre-Hilbert space with respect to inner product  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha(u, v) = \mathcal{E}(u, v) + \alpha(u, v)_X$ ,  $u, v \in \mathcal{A}$ , for each  $\alpha > 0$ .

THEOREM. Suppose that a pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$  satisfies condition (A). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to a metric  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}$  for a fixed  $\alpha_0 > 0$ . Then,  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  is a  $D$ -space.

**Proof.** (A.1) and (A.3) imply that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a linear subspace of  $L^2(X; m)$  and that  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  satisfies the condition (D.2) of Definition 2.1. Therefore, for each  $\alpha > 0$  and  $u \in L^2(X; m)$ , there exists  $G_\alpha u \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha(G_\alpha u, v) = (u, v)_X$  holds for any  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ . It suffices for us to show that  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  is an  $L^2$ -resolvent, because then  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  coincides with the  $D$ -space generated by  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$ . Obviously  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha > 0\}$  satisfies the resolvent equation. To see its sub-Markov property, let us assume that  $u \in L^2(X; m)$  and  $0 \leq u \leq 1$   $m$ -a.e.

If we put  $\Phi(v) = \mathcal{E}(v, v) + \alpha(v - (1/\alpha)u, v - (1/\alpha)u)_X$  for  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ , then we have  $\Phi(v) = \Phi(G_\alpha u) + \mathcal{E}^\alpha(G_\alpha u - v, G_\alpha u - v)$ , which means that  $G_\alpha u$  is a unique element of  $\mathcal{F}$  minimizing the quadratic form  $\Phi$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Further we see that  $v_n \in \mathcal{F}$  converges

to  $G_\alpha u$  in  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha$ -norm if and only if  $v_n$  is a minimizing sequence for  $\Phi$ :  $\Phi(v_n) \rightarrow \Phi(G_\alpha u)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha$ -norm, there exist  $v_n \in \mathcal{A}$  which converges to  $G_\alpha u$  in  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha$ -norm. Put  $w_n = (0 \vee v_n) \wedge (1/\alpha)$ . By condition ( $\mathcal{A}$ 2),  $w_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{E}(w_n, w_n) \leq \mathcal{E}(v_n, v_n)$ . Now it is easy to see that  $\Phi(G_\alpha u) \leq \Phi(w_n) \leq \Phi(v_n)$  for each  $n$ . However,  $v_n$  is a minimizing sequence for  $\Phi$  and so that  $w_n$  is. Hence,  $w_n$  converges to  $G_\alpha u$  in  $\mathcal{E}^\alpha$ -norm and consequently a subsequence of  $w_n$  converges to  $G_\alpha u$   $m$ -a.e. Thus we get  $0 \leq G_\alpha u \leq 1/\alpha$   $m$ -a.e.

**COROLLARY 1.** *In addition to the condition in Theorem, we assume that  $m$  is everywhere dense on  $X$  and that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a dense subset of  $C(X)$ . Then  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  of the theorem is a regular  $D$ -space.*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose that we are given a  $D$ -space  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $(0 \vee u) \wedge 1 \in \mathcal{A}$  whenever  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_0$  the completion of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}$ -norm. Then,  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{E})$  is a  $D$ -space.*

**COROLLARY 3.** *Suppose that we are given a  $D$ -space  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E})$  with everywhere dense  $m$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{F} \cap C_0(X)$  is dense in  $C_0(X)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_0$  the completion of  $\mathcal{F} \cap C_0(X)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_0}$ -norm. Then,  $(X, m, \mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{E})$  is a regular  $D$ -space.*

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Beurling and J. Deny, *Dirichlet spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **45** (1959), 208–215. MR **21** #5098.
2. J. Deny, *Principe complet du maximum et contractions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **15** (1965), fasc. 1, 259–272. MR **32** #5913.
3. J. Deny and J. L. Lions, *Les espaces du type de Beppo Levi*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **5** (1953/54), 305–370. MR **17**, 646.
4. J. L. Doob, *Boundary properties of functions with finite Dirichlet integrals*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **12** (1962), 573–621. MR **30** #3992.
5. M. Fukushima, *A construction of reflecting barrier Brownian motions for bounded domains*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1967), 183–215. MR **37** #6999.
6. ———, *On boundary conditions for multi-dimensional Brownian motions with symmetric resolvent densities*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **21** (1969), 58–93. MR **38** #5291.
7. ———, *Dirichlet spaces and their representations*, Seminar on Probability **31** (1969). (Japanese)
8. ———, *On Dirichlet spaces and Dirichlet rings*, Proc. Japan Acad. **45** (1969), 433–436.
9. ———, *Dirichlet spaces and strong Markov processes* (to appear).
10. I. M. Gel'fand, D. A. Raikov and G. E. Šilov, *Commutative normed rings*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1960; English transl., Chelsea, New York, 1964. MR **23** #A1242; MR **34** #4940.
11. F. Knight, *Note on regularization of Markov processes*, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 548–552. MR **31** #1713.
12. H. Kunita and T. Watanabe, *Some theorems concerning resolvents over locally compact spaces*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Prob. (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, part 2, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1967, pp. 131–164. MR **35** #4999.

13. L. H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1953. MR 14, 883.
14. S. Mizohata, *The theory of partial differential equations*, Contemporary Math., no. 9, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1965. (Japanese) MR 38 #396.
15. D. Ray, *Resolvents, transition functions, and strongly Markovian processes*, Ann. of Math. (2) 70 (1959), 43–72. MR 21 #6027.
16. T. Shiga and T. Watanabe, *On Markov chains similar to the reflecting barrier Brownian motion*, Osaka J. Math. 5 (1968), 1–33. MR 39 #7676.

TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION,

TOKYO, JAPAN

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS,

URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801